arXiv:1612.02877v1 [math.DG] 9 Dec 2016

A generalized Trudinger-Moser inequality on a compact Riemannian surface

Xiaobao Zhu

Department of Mathematics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P. R. China

Abstract

Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. Let ψ, h be two smooth functions on Σ with $\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \neq 0$ and $h \geq 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$. In this paper, using a method of blowup analysis, we prove that the functional

$$J^{\psi,h}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + 8\pi \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_\Sigma \psi u dv_g - 8\pi \log \int_\Sigma h e^u dv_g \tag{1}$$

is bounded from below in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$. Moreover, we obtain a sufficient condition under which $J^{\psi,h}$ attains its infimum in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$. These results generalize the main results in [9] and [25].

Keywords: Trudinger-Moser inequality, variational method, blowup analysis, Kazdan-Warner equation
2010 MSC: 58J05

1. Introduction and main results

Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. Let ψ, h be two smooth functions on Σ. In the celebrated paper [9], Ding-Jost-Li-Wang studied the functional $J^{\psi,h}$ in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ when $\psi \equiv 1$ and $h > 0$. Using a method of blowup analysis, they obtained a sufficient condition ((8) with $\psi \equiv 1$) under which $J^{1,h}$ attains its infimum in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$.

In this paper, we shall generalize Ding-Jost-Li-Wang's work [9]. Precisely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1. *Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. Let ψ be a smooth function on Σ satisfying $\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \neq 0$. For any $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ we have*

$$\int_\Sigma e^u dv_g \leq C_\Sigma \exp\left\{\frac{1}{16\pi}\|\nabla_g u\|_2^2 + \widetilde{u}\right\},$$

where $\widetilde{u} = \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_\Sigma \psi u dv_g$ and C_Σ is a positive constant depending only on (Σ, g).

Email address: zhuxiaobao@ruc.edu.cn (Xiaobao Zhu)

Let $G_y(x)$ be the Green function which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g G_y(x) = 8\pi\left(\frac{\psi(x)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} - \delta_y(x)\right), \quad x \in \Sigma, \\ \int_\Sigma \psi G_y dv_g = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

In a normal coordinate system around y, $G_y(x)$ has the expression

$$\begin{aligned} G_y(x) = &-4\log r + A_y + b_1 r\cos\theta + b_2 r\sin\theta \\ &+ c_1 r^2\cos^2\theta + 2c_2 r^2\cos\theta\sin\theta + c_3 r^2\sin^2\theta + O(r^3), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $r(x) = \mathrm{dist}(x, y)$ is the distance function from x to y on (Σ, g).

To prove Theorem 1, we consider the perturbed functional

$$J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_\Sigma \psi u dv_g - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\int_\Sigma h e^u dv_g \tag{4}$$

for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. In view of the classical Trudinger-Moser inequality (c.f. Lemma 4 below), $\forall \epsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\exists\ u_\epsilon \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$, such that $J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) = \inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u)$ and u_ϵ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\Delta_g u_\epsilon = 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} - \frac{h e^{u_\epsilon}}{\int_\Sigma h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g}\right). \tag{5}$$

Without distinguishing sequence and its subsequences, there are two possibilities:
(i). If u_ϵ has a uniform bound in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ (i.e., a bound does not depend on ϵ), then u_ϵ converges to some u_0 in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ and u_0 attains the infimum of $J^{\psi,h}$ in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$.
(ii). If $\|u_\epsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} \to \infty$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, one calls u_ϵ blows up, we shall prove that

$$\inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{\psi,h}(u) \geq -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi\max_{y\in\Sigma\setminus Z}\left(2\log h(y) + A_y\right), \tag{6}$$

where $Z = \{y \in \Sigma :\ h(y) = 0\}$ and A_y is a smooth function on Σ defined in (3). Combining the results in (i) and (ii) one proves Theorem 1.

When u_ϵ blows up, we construct a blowup sequence $\{\phi_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ (c.f. (46)). By a direct calculation one obtains (56), letting $\epsilon \to 0$ we have

$$\inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{\psi,h}(u) \leq \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} J^{\psi,h}(\phi_\epsilon) = -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi\max_{y\in\Sigma\setminus Z}\left(2\log h(y) + A_y\right). \tag{7}$$

Combining (6) and (7) we have

Theorem 2. *Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. Let $J^{\psi,h}$, $J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}$ and u_ϵ be defined in (1), (4) and (5) respectively. If u_ϵ blows up, then we have*

$$\inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{\psi,h}(u) = -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi\max_{y\in\Sigma\setminus Z}\left(2\log h(y) + A_y\right),$$

where A_y is defined in (3).

In view of (56), if one has (8) below, then we have $J^{\psi,h}(\phi_\epsilon) < \inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{\psi,h}(u)$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Then Theorem 2 tells us that no blowup happens, so $J^{\psi,h}$ achieves its infimum at some function $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$. Precisely, we obtain the following existence theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface, K_g be its Gaussian curvature. Let ψ, h be two smooth functions on Σ with $\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \neq 0$ and $h \geq 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$. Denote $Z = \{y \in \Sigma : h(y) = 0\}$. Suppose $2\log h(y) + A_y$ attains its supremum in $\Sigma \setminus Z$ at p. Let $b_1(p)$ and $b_2(p)$ be the constants in the expression (3). In a normal coordinate system around p we write $\nabla_g h(p) = (k_1(p), k_2(p))$. If*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_g h(p) + 2\left[b_1(p)k_1(p) + b_2(p)k_2(p)\right] \\ &> -\left[4\pi\left(\frac{\psi(p)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} + 1\right) + \left(b_1^2(p) + b_2^2(p)\right) - 2K_g(p)\right]h(p), \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

then the infimum of the functional $J^{\psi,h}$ in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ can be attained at some $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ which satisfies

$$\Delta_g u = 8\pi\left(\frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} - \frac{he^u}{\int_\Sigma he^u dv_g}\right). \tag{9}$$

There are three motivations for the study of this paper:
Motivation 1. Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [9] studied existence of the Kazdan-Warner equation $\Delta_g u = 8\pi - 8\pi he^u$ on a compact Riemannian surface with volume 1. First, they used a variational method to derive a lower bound for $J^{1,h}$ in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$; Then, they construct a blowup sequence $\{\phi_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ to display that no blowup happens and obtained a sufficient condition ((8) with $\psi \equiv 1$) for the existence of the Kazdan-Warner equation (c.f. [14]). Our first motivation is to generalize these results, see Theorems 1 and 3.
Motivation 2. Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface, K_g be its Gaussian curvature. The Liouville energy of metric $\widetilde{g} = e^u g$ with respect to metric g is represented as $L_g(\widetilde{g}) = \int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + 4\int_\Sigma K_g u dv_g$. When (Σ, g) is a topological two sphere with volume 4π and bounded curvature K_g, Chen-Zhu [8] proved that $L_g(\widetilde{g})$ is bounded from below in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$. Their proof is analytic, does not rely on the uniformization theorem and the Onofri inequality. In fact, this problem is equivalent to prove that $J^{1,1}$ is bounded from below in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$. Our second motivation is generalize Chen-Zhu's result to general Riemannian surfaces. This is our major motivation.
Motivation 3. Yang and the author [25] weakened the condition $h > 0$ in [9] to $h \geq 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$. Our third motivation is study existence of the generalized Kazdan-Warner equation (9) under this condition.

We refer the readers to [20, 23, 15, 19, 3] and references therein for more relevant works.

Concluding remark: In this paper, we shall follow closely the lines of [9] and [25]. We would like to point out two things: First, in the proof of Theorem 1 when we estimate the integral $\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g$, we divide it into two parts

$$\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \quad \& \quad \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g$$

instead of three parts

$$\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \quad \& \quad \int_{B_\delta(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \quad \& \quad \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_\delta(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g$$

in [9], which can simplify the proof of Theorem 1. Second, when u_ϵ blows up, Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [9] proved that

$$\inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{1,h}(u) \geq -8\pi - 8\pi \log \pi - 4\pi \max_{y\in\Sigma} \left(2\log h(y) + A_y\right).$$

we say more about this point. In fact, we shall prove in Theorem 2 that

$$\inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{\psi,h}(u) = -8\pi - 8\pi \log \pi - 4\pi \max_{y\in\Sigma\setminus Z} \left(2\log h(y) + A_y\right)$$

for a general smooth ψ satisfies $\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \neq 0$, where $Z = \{y \in \Sigma : \ h(y) = 0\}$.

Some main notations:

- $\overline{u} = \dfrac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \displaystyle\int_\Sigma u dv_g$ $\qquad$ - $\widetilde{u} = \dfrac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} \displaystyle\int_\Sigma \psi u dv_g$
- $\widetilde{X} = \left\{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma) : \widetilde{u} = 0\right\}$ $\qquad$ - $Z = \{y \in \Sigma : \ h(y) = 0\}$
- $\|\cdot\|_p = \left(\displaystyle\int_\Sigma |\cdot|^p dv_g\right)^{1/p}$, $\ L^p -$ norm on (Σ, g)
- $J^{\psi,h}(u) = \dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + 8\pi \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} \int_\Sigma \psi u dv_g - 8\pi \log \int_\Sigma h e^u dv_g$
- $J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u) = \dfrac{1}{2}\displaystyle\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + 8\pi(1-\epsilon) \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} \int_\Sigma \psi u dv_g - 8\pi(1-\epsilon) \log \int_\Sigma h e^u dv_g$

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give three key inequalities. The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 3. In Sections 4 and 5, we divide the proof of Theorem 3 into two parts: $h > 0$ and $h \geq 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$.

Throughout this paper, we use C to denote a positive constant and its changes from line to line. We do not distinguish sequence and its subsequences in this paper.

2. Three key inequalities

In this section, we present three key inequalities which are very important in the following study.

2.1. The Trudinger-Moser inequality on a compact Riemannian surface

Lemma 4. *([11, 9]) Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. For any $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ we have*

$$\int_\Sigma e^u dv_g \leq C_\Sigma \exp\left\{\frac{1}{16\pi}\|\nabla_g u\|_2^2 + \overline{u}\right\}, \tag{10}$$

where $\overline{u} = \frac{1}{Vol_g(\Sigma)} \int_\Sigma u dv_g$ and C_Σ is a positive constant depending only on (Σ, g).

For improvements of the above Trudinger-Moser inequality, we refer the readers to Adimurthi-Druet [1], Yang [21, 22], Lu-Yang [16], Wang-Ye [18], Yang-Zhu [24], Tintarev [17] and the author [26].

2.2. The Poincaré type inequality

Lemma 5. *Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. Let ψ be a smooth function on Σ satisfying $\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \neq 0$. Assume $q > 1$, then for any $u \in W^{1,q}(\Sigma, g)$ we have*

$$\left(\int_\Sigma |u - \widetilde{u}|^q dv_g\right)^{1/q} \leq C_\Sigma \left(\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^q dv_g\right)^{1/q} \tag{11}$$

where $\widetilde{u} = \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} \int_\Sigma \psi u dv_g$ and C_Σ is a positive constant depending only on (Σ, g).

Since the proof of Lemma 5 is completely analogous to the case that ψ is a constant, we omit it here and refer the readers to Theorem 2.10 in [13].

2.3. The Sobolev-Poincaré type inequality

Lemma 6. *Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. Let ψ be a smooth function on Σ with $\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \neq 0$. Assume $p \geq 1$, then for any $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ we have*

$$\left(\int_\Sigma |u - \widetilde{u}|^p dv_g\right)^{1/p} \leq C_\Sigma \left(\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g\right)^{1/2}.$$

where $\widetilde{u} = \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} \int_\Sigma \psi u dv_g$ and C_Σ is a positive constant depending only on (Σ, g).

Proof. The proof is standard. Suppose not, there exists a sequence of functions $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ such that

$$\left(\int_\Sigma |u_n - \widetilde{u}_n|^p dv_g\right)^{1/p} \geq n \left(\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_n|^2 dv_g\right)^{1/2}.$$

Let

$$v_n = \frac{u_n - \widetilde{u}_n}{\left(\int_\Sigma |u_n - \widetilde{u}_n|^p dv_g\right)^{1/p}}.$$

Easily check can find that

$$\|v_n\|_p = 1, \ \ \|\nabla_g v_n\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{n}, \ \ \widetilde{v}_n = 0.$$

By Lemma 5 we have

$$\|v_n\|_2 \leq C.$$

So

$$\|v_n\|_{W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} \leq C.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &v_n \rightharpoonup v_0 \ \text{ weakly in } W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g), \\ &v_n \to v_0 \ \text{ strongly in } L^q(\Sigma, g) \ (\forall q \geq 1). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

By the lower semi-continuous property of $\|\nabla_g \cdot\|_2^2$ one knows $\|\nabla_g v_0\|_2^2 = 0$, so v_0 is a constant. From (12), we have

$$\widetilde{v}_0 = 0 \tag{13}$$

and

$$\|v_0\|_p = 1. \tag{14}$$

Since v_0 is a constant, (13) tells us that $v_0 \equiv 0$. This is a contraction with (14). This ends the proof of the lemma. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we shall derive the lower bound of $J^{\psi,h}$. As a consequence, we shall prove Theorem 1.

Since $J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u+c) = J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u)$ and $J^{\psi,h}(u+c) = J^{\psi,h}(u)$ for any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$, we have

$$\inf_{u\in\widetilde{X}} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u) = \inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u), \quad \inf_{u\in\widetilde{X}} J^{\psi,h}(u) = \inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{\psi,h}(u),$$

where $\widetilde{X} = \left\{u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma) : \widetilde{u} = 0\right\}$. Therefore, we can without loss of generality assume that $u_\epsilon \in \widetilde{X}$. There are two possibilities:

Case a). $\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_2 \le C$.

Since $u_\epsilon \in \widetilde{X}$, by the Poincaré inequality (11) we know u_ϵ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$. Then we may assume

$$\begin{aligned} &u_\epsilon \rightharpoonup u_0 \ \text{ weakly in } \ W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g), \\ &u_\epsilon \to u_0 \ \text{ strongly in } \ L^p(\Sigma, g), \ \forall p \ge 1. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

This together with the Trudinger-Moser inequality (10) and the Hölder inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma h\,(e^{u_\epsilon} - e^{u_0})\,dv_g &= \int_\Sigma h \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} e^{u_0 + t(u_\epsilon - u_0)} dt dv_g \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_\Sigma h e^{u_0 + t(u_\epsilon - u_0)} (u_\epsilon - u_0) dv_g dt \\ &\to 0 \ \ as \ \ \epsilon \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From (15) and the Hölder inequality we have

$$\int_\Sigma \psi(u_\epsilon - u_0) dv_g \to 0 \ \ as \ \ \epsilon \to 0. \tag{17}$$

The lower semi-continuous of $\|\nabla_g \cdot\|_2^2$ together with (15)-(17) gives us

$$\inf_{u\in\widetilde{X}} J^{\psi,h}(u) \geq \liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \inf_{u\in\widetilde{X}} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u) = \liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) \geq J^{\psi,h}(u_0).$$

That is to say, $u_0 \in \widetilde{X}$ attains the infimum of $J^{\psi,h}$ in $\widetilde{X}$ and satisfies (9). The elliptic regularity theory implies that $u_0 \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$. The proof of Theorems 1 and 3 terminates in this case.

Case b). $\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_2 \to +\infty$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Though the L^2-norm of the gradient of u_ϵ is infinity, we have

Lemma 7. *For any* $1 < q < 2$, $\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_q \leq C$.

Proof. Let $q' = q/(q-1) > 2$, by equation (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_q &\leq \sup_{\|\zeta\|_{W^{1,q'}(\Sigma)}\leq 1,\ \int_\Sigma \zeta dv_g = 0} \int_\Sigma \nabla_g u_\epsilon \nabla_g \zeta dv_g \\
&= \sup_{\|\zeta\|_{W^{1,q'}(\Sigma)}\leq 1,\ \int_\Sigma \zeta dv_g = 0} \int_\Sigma 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\left(-\frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} + \frac{he^{u_\epsilon}}{\int_\Sigma he^{u_\epsilon} dv_g}\right)\zeta dv_g \\
&\leq C,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the Sobolev embedding $W^{1,q'}(\Sigma, g) \hookrightarrow C^0(\Sigma)$. □

Denote $\lambda_\epsilon = \int_\Sigma he^{u_\epsilon} dv_g$. We have

Lemma 8. $\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \lambda_\epsilon > 0$.

Proof.

$$J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) = \inf_{u\in\widetilde{X}} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u) \leq J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(0) \leq -8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log \int_\Sigma h dv_g. \tag{18}$$

If $\liminf_{\epsilon\to 0} \lambda_\epsilon = 0$, then up to a subsequence we have

$$J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\lambda_\epsilon \to +\infty$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$, which contradicts (18). This ends the proof of Lemma 8. □

Let $c_\epsilon = \max_\Sigma u_\epsilon = u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon)$. Suppose $x_\epsilon \to p$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, then

Lemma 9. $c_\epsilon \to +\infty$ *as* $\epsilon \to 0$. *Furthermore, we have* $\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} e^{c_\epsilon} \to +\infty$ *as* $\epsilon \to 0$.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of the equation (5) by u_ϵ and integrating both sides on (Σ, g), we have

$$\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \leq 8\pi(1-\epsilon)c_\epsilon. \tag{19}$$

This implies $c_\epsilon \to +\infty$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ since $\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_2 \to +\infty$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

By Lemmas 4 and 5 one has

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_\epsilon = \int_\Sigma h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \leq & C \exp\left\{\frac{1}{16\pi}\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_2^2 + \bar{u}_\epsilon\right\} \\ \leq & C \exp\left\{\left(\frac{1}{16\pi} + \delta\right)\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_2^2 + C_\delta\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Substituting (19) into (20) and choosing $\delta = \frac{1+2\epsilon}{32\pi(1-\epsilon)}$, then

$$\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} e^{c_\epsilon} \geq C e^{\frac{1}{4}c_\epsilon} \to +\infty \ \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0.$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 9. □

Choosing a local coordinate system (U, z) around p, which satisfies $z(p) = 0$. Let $r_\epsilon = \sqrt{\lambda_\epsilon} e^{-c_\epsilon/2}$ and define

$$\varphi_\epsilon(x) = u_\epsilon(z(x_\epsilon) + r_\epsilon x) - \lambda_\epsilon, \quad x \in \mathbb{B}_R(0) \subset z(U).$$

Then in $\mathbb{B}_R(0)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_g \varphi_\epsilon(x) =& 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\left(\frac{\psi(z(x_\epsilon) + r_\epsilon x)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} r_\epsilon^2 - h(z(x_\epsilon) + r_\epsilon x) e^{\varphi_\epsilon(x)}\right) \\ :=& f_\epsilon(x).\end{aligned}$$

We have the following asymptotic phenomenon of u_ϵ near the blowup point p.

Lemma 10.

$$\varphi_\epsilon(x) \to \varphi_0(x) = -2\log(1 + \pi h(p)|x|^2) \tag{21}$$

in $C^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *as* $\epsilon \to 0$.

Proof. Since $f_\epsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}_R(0))$, by Theorem 9.15 in [12] we can consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \varphi_\epsilon^1(x) = f_\epsilon(x), \ \ x \in \mathbb{B}_R(0), \\ \varphi_\epsilon^1|_{\partial \mathbb{B}_R(0)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $\varphi_\epsilon^2 = \varphi_\epsilon - \varphi_\epsilon^1$, then $\Delta_g \varphi_\epsilon^2 = 0$. Since $\varphi_\epsilon \leq 0$, f_ϵ is bounded in $\mathbb{B}_R(0)$. The elliptic estimates together with $W_0^{2,p}(\mathbb{B}_R(0)) \hookrightarrow C(\overline{\mathbb{B}_R(0)})$ give $\sup_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} |\varphi_\epsilon^1| \leq C$. Because $\varphi_\epsilon \leqslant 0$, we have $\sup_{\mathbb{B}_R(0)} \varphi_\epsilon^2 \leqslant C$. The Harnack inequality yields that $\sup_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} |\varphi_\epsilon^2| \leqslant C$, because $\varphi_\epsilon^2(0)$ is bounded. Therefore $\sup_{\mathbb{B}_{\frac{R}{2}}(0)} |\varphi_\epsilon| \leqslant C$.

By the elliptic estimates, we can show that $\varphi_\epsilon(x) \to \varphi_0(x)$ *in* $C^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, where $\varphi_0(x)$ satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi_0 = -8\pi h(p) e^{\varphi_0}, \\ \varphi_0(0) = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(p) e^{\varphi_0} dx \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

By Chen-Li's classification theorem [6] we know

$$\varphi_0(x) = -2\log\left(1 + \pi h(p)|x|^2\right).$$

This is the end of the proof of Lemma 10. □

Away from the blowup point p, we have

Lemma 11. *For any $\Omega \subset\subset \Sigma \setminus \{p\}$, we have $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$.*

Proof. Let $\Omega \subset\subset \Sigma \setminus \{p\}$. We choose another two compact sets Ω_1 and Ω_2 in $\Sigma \setminus \{p\}$ such that $\Omega \subset\subset \Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega_2 \subset\subset \Sigma \setminus \{p\}$. Calculating directly, one knows in Lemma 10

$$\lim_{R\to+\infty}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} he^{u_\epsilon}dv_g = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(p)e^{\varphi_0}dx = 1. \tag{22}$$

Then by (22) one has

$$\lim_{R\to+\infty}\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} he^{u_\epsilon}dv_g = 0. \tag{23}$$

So we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Omega_2} he^{u_\epsilon}dv_g = 0. \tag{24}$$

Assume u_ϵ^1 be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u_\epsilon^1 = -8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}he^{u_\epsilon} \ \text{ in } \ \Omega_2, \\ u_\epsilon^1 = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega_2. \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

From (24) and Theorem 1 in [4] one knows that for some $q \in (1,2)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega_2} e^{q|u_\epsilon^1|}dv_g \leq C. \tag{26}$$

It follows that in (25) one has

$$\|u_\epsilon^1\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)} \leq C.$$

Let $u_\epsilon^2 = u_\epsilon - u_\epsilon^1$. Then $\Delta_g u_\epsilon^2 = 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\psi/\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g$ in Ω_2. It follows from the interior L^p-estimates (c.f. Theorem 8.17 in [12]) and Lemma 7 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_\epsilon^2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} \leq & C\|u_\epsilon^2\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)} \\ \leq & C\left(\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)} + \|u_\epsilon^1\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)}\right) \\ \leq & C\left(\|\nabla_g u_\epsilon\|_{L^q(\Sigma)} + \|u_\epsilon^1\|_{L^q(\Omega_2)}\right) \\ \leq & C. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

By combining (26) and (27) we have

$$\int_{\Omega_1} e^{pu_\epsilon}dv_g = \int_{\Omega_1} e^{pu_\epsilon^1}e^{pu_\epsilon^2}dv_g \leq C.$$

Using the standard elliptic estimates to equation (25), one obtains

$$\|u_\epsilon^1\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C.$$

Thus,

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C. \tag{28}$$

This ends the proof of Lemma 11. □

Remark 12. *In fact, one can show that $u_\epsilon \to G_p$ in $C^1_{loc}(\Sigma \setminus \{p\})$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, like in [9]. However, we do not need this fact, so we omit it here.*

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we still need a lower bound for u_ϵ away from the maximum point x_ϵ.

Similar to [9], we have the following lemma by the maximum principle.

Lemma 13. *For any fixed $R > 0$, let $r_\epsilon = \sqrt{\lambda_\epsilon} e^{-c_\epsilon/2}$. Then for any $y \in \Sigma \setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)$, we have*

$$u_\epsilon(y) - G_{x_\epsilon}(y) \geq -c_\epsilon + 2\log\lambda_\epsilon - 2\log\pi - 2\log h(p) - A_p + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1),$$

where $o_\epsilon(1) \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ and $o_R(1) \to 0$ as $R \to +\infty$.

Proof. By (5) and (2), we have for any $y \in \Sigma \setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)$

$$\Delta_g (u_\epsilon - G_{x_\epsilon}) = -8\pi\epsilon \frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} h e^{u_\epsilon}.$$

Notice that one needs to deal with the term $-8\pi\epsilon\psi / \int_\Sigma \psi dv_g$ which sign is unknown. Employing the trick introduced by Chen-Zhu (c.f. Lemma 3.5 in [8]), we define

$$\psi' = \begin{cases} \frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}, & \text{if } \frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} \leq 0, \\ \phi \frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}, & \text{if } \frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} > 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi(x) \in [0,1]$ is a measurable function on Σ such that $\int_\Sigma \psi' dv_g = 0$. Assume $\Delta_g \zeta = \psi'$ on Σ. Then ζ is bounded on Σ. Consider the function $u_\epsilon - G_{x_\epsilon} + 8\pi\epsilon\zeta$, we have

$$\Delta_g (u_\epsilon - G_{x_\epsilon} + 8\pi\epsilon\zeta) = -8\pi\epsilon \left(\frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} - \psi' \right) - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} h e^{u_\epsilon} \leq 0, \ \ y \in \Sigma \setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon).$$

Lemma 10 together with (3) tells us that

$$(u_\epsilon - G_{x_\epsilon} + 8\pi\epsilon\zeta)\big|_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} = -c_\epsilon + 2\log\lambda_\epsilon - 2\log\pi - 2\log h(p) - A_{x_\epsilon} + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1).$$

Then by the maximum principle we know

$$u_\epsilon - G_{x_\epsilon} + 8\pi\epsilon\zeta \geq -c_\epsilon + 2\log\lambda_\epsilon - 2\log\pi - 2\log h(p) - A_{x_\epsilon} + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1).$$

Since ζ is bounded and $A_{x_\epsilon} \to A_p$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, we have

$$u_\epsilon - G_{x_\epsilon} \geq -c_\epsilon + 2\log\lambda_\epsilon - 2\log\pi - 2\log h(p) - A_p + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1).$$

This ends the proof of the lemma. □

We are now ready to estimate $J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon)$ from below and give the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Recall that $r_\epsilon = \sqrt{\lambda_\epsilon} e^{-c_\epsilon/2}$, for any fixed $R > 0$, we have

$$\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g = \int_{\Sigma \setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + \int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g. \tag{29}$$

From Lemma 10 one knows

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g =& \int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^2}\varphi_0|^2 dx + o_\epsilon(1)\\ =&16\pi \log\left(1+\pi h(p)R^2\right) - 16\pi + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

By the equation of u_ϵ (c.f. (5)) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g =& -\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \Delta_g u_\epsilon dv_g - \int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g\\ =&8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g\\ &-\frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \psi u_\epsilon dv_g - \int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Lemma 13 leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g\\ \geq&8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g\\ &+8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \left(-2\log\pi - 2\log h(p) - A_p + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1)\right) h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

From (5) and (2) one has

$$\begin{aligned}&8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g\\ =&\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon}\left(-\Delta_g u_\epsilon + 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\frac{\psi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\right) dv_g\\ =&\frac{8\pi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \psi dv_g - \frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon}\psi dv_g\\ &+\int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon}\frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g - \int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial G_{x_\epsilon}}{\partial n} ds_g. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

It also follows from (5) that

$$\begin{aligned}&-8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g\\ =&(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g - (c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \psi dv_g. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

It follows from (23) that

$$8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \left(-2\log\pi - 2\log h(p) - A_p + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1)\right) h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g = o_\epsilon(1). \tag{35}$$

Inserting (33)-(35) into (32) one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned}
&8\pi(1-\epsilon)\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \\
\geq &\frac{8\pi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \psi dv_g - \frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon}\psi dv_g \\
&+\int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon}\frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g - \int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial G_{x_\epsilon}}{\partial n} ds_g \\
&+(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g \\
&-(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \psi dv_g + o_\epsilon(1). \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (36) into (31) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \geq &\frac{8\pi}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \psi dv_g - \frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon}\psi dv_g \\
&+\int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon}\frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g - \int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial G_{x_\epsilon}}{\partial n} ds_g \\
&+(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g \\
&-(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \psi dv_g \\
&+\frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \psi u_\epsilon dv_g - \int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n} ds_g + o_\epsilon(1). \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 10 tells us that

$$\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \psi dv_g = o_\epsilon(1). \qquad (38)$$

From (3) one knows

$$\int_{B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} G_{x_\epsilon}\psi dv_g = o_\epsilon(1). \qquad (39)$$

Using Lemmas 10 and 13, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial n}\left(u_\epsilon - G_{x_\epsilon} + c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon\right) ds_g \\
\geq &\frac{8\pi^2 h(p)R^2}{1+\pi h(p)R^2}\left(-2\log\pi - 2\log h(p) - A_{x_\epsilon}\right) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1) \\
= &-16\pi\log\pi - 16\pi\log h(p) - 8\pi A_p + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (21) and (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_{\partial B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} u_\epsilon \frac{\partial G_{x_\epsilon}}{\partial n} ds_g =& -\Big(c_\epsilon - 2\log(1+\pi h(p)R^2) + o_\epsilon(1)\Big)(-8\pi + O(Rr_\epsilon)) \\ =& 8\pi c_\epsilon - 16\pi \log(1+\pi h(p)R^2) + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

It is clear that by Lemmas 8 and 9

$$-(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon)\frac{8\pi(1-\epsilon)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} \psi dv_g = -8\pi(1-\epsilon)(c_\epsilon - 2\log\lambda_\epsilon) + o_\epsilon(1). \tag{42}$$

Therefore, by inserting (38)-(42) into (37) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{Rr_\epsilon}(x_\epsilon)} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g \geq & -16\pi\log\pi - 16\pi\log h(p) - 8\pi A_p \\ & + 8\pi\epsilon c_\epsilon - 16\pi\log(1+\pi h(p)R^2) \\ & + 16\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\lambda_\epsilon + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Substituting (30) and (43) into (29) one has

$$\begin{aligned}J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) =& \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\lambda_\epsilon \\ \geq & -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 8\pi\log h(p) - 4\pi A_p \\ & + 4\pi\epsilon c_\epsilon + o_\epsilon(1) + o_R(1) \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ first, and then $R \to +\infty$ in (44), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{\psi,h}(u) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) \geq & -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 8\pi\log h(p) - 4\pi A_p \\ \geq & -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi\max_{y\in\Sigma\setminus Z}\Big(2\log h(y) + A_y\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Then Theorem 1 follows directly. □

4. Proof of Theorem 3—Part I: $h > 0$

In this section, we shall construct a blowup sequence $\{\phi_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0}$ with

$$J^{\psi,h}(\phi_\epsilon) < -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi\max_{y\in\Sigma}\Big(2\log h(y) + A_y\Big)$$

for sufficiently small ϵ. This is a contradiction with (45), so no blowup happens, then we are in the position of Case a) and the proof terminates. In fact, our proof also shows that, if $J^{\psi,h}$ has no minimizer in $W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$, then

$$\inf_{u\in W^{1,2}(\Sigma,g)} J^{\psi,h}(u) = -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi\max_{y\in\Sigma}\Big(2\log h(y) + A_y\Big).$$

Proof of Theorem 3—Part I: $h > 0$. Suppose that $2\log h(p) + A_p = \max_{y\in\Sigma}\left(2\log h(y) + A_y\right)$. Let $r = \mathrm{dist}(x, p)$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(r,\theta) =& G_p - \left(-4\log r + A_p + b_1 r\cos\theta + b_2 r\sin\theta\right)\\ =& c_1 r^2\cos^2\theta + 2c_2 r^2\cos\theta\sin\theta + c_3 r^2\sin^2\theta + O(r^3).\end{aligned}$$

We define

$$\phi_\epsilon = \begin{cases} -2\log\left(r^2+\epsilon\right) + b_1 r\cos\theta + b_2 r\sin\theta + \log\epsilon, & r \le \alpha\sqrt{\epsilon},\\ \left(G_p - \eta\beta(r,\theta)\right) + C_\epsilon + \log\epsilon, & \alpha\sqrt{\epsilon} \le r \le 2\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon},\\ G_p + C_\epsilon + \log\epsilon, & r \ge 2\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon},\end{cases} \tag{46}$$

where $\eta \in C_0^1\left(B_{2\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)\right)$ is a cutoff function satisfying $\eta \equiv 1$ in $B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)$ and $|\nabla_g\eta| \le \frac{C}{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}$, $C_\epsilon = -2\log\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2} - A_p$, $\alpha = \alpha(\epsilon)$ satisfying $\alpha \to \infty$ and $\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon} \to 0$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ will be determined later.

We denote by (r,θ) the chosen normal coordinate system around p. We write $g = dr^2 + g^2(r,\theta)d\theta^2$. It is well-known that

$$g(r,\theta) = r - \frac{K_g(p)}{6}r^3 + O(r^4). \tag{47}$$

Using (47) and calculating directly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g\phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g =& 16\pi\log\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2} - 16\pi\log\epsilon - 16\pi + 16\pi\frac{1}{1+\alpha^2}\\ &+ 8\pi A_p + \frac{16}{3}\pi K(p)\epsilon\log(\alpha^2+1) + O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Sigma h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g =& \pi h(p)\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+1}\left[1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2+1} - \frac{1}{6}K(p)\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right)\right.\\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\frac{a^2+1}{\alpha^2}\left(b_1^2+b_2^2\right)\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+1}\left(b_1^2+b_2^2\right)\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right)\\ &- \frac{1}{2}\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+1}(c_1+c_3-\frac{1}{3}K(p))\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right)\\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2}\frac{\Delta_g h(p)}{h(p)}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+1}\frac{\Delta_g h(p)}{h(p)}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right)\\ &+ \left.\frac{1}{2}\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2}\frac{k_1b_1+k_2b_2}{h(p)}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+1}\frac{k_1b_1+k_2b_2}{h(p)}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right)\right]\\ &+ O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\right) + O(\epsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

We refer the readers to pages 241 and 245 in [9] for the details of calculation of (48) and (49).

Suppose that

$$\psi(x) - \psi(p) = l_1 r\cos\theta + l_2 r\sin\theta + l_3 r^2\cos^2\theta + 2l_4 r^2\sin\theta\cos\theta + l_5 r^2\sin^2\theta + O(r^3)$$

in $B_\delta(p)$ for a small $\delta > 0$.

Direct computations tell us that

$$\int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} (\psi - \psi(p)) \left(-2\log(r^2+\epsilon) + b_1 r\cos\theta + b_2 r\sin\theta\right) dv_g = O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)\right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \left(-2\log(r^2+\epsilon) + b_1 r\cos\theta + b_2 r\sin\theta\right) dv_g \\ =& -2\pi\alpha^2\epsilon\log\left((\alpha^2+1)\epsilon\right) - 2\pi\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) + 2\pi\alpha^2\epsilon + O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)\right).\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi\phi_\epsilon dv_g =& \psi(p)\left[-2\pi\alpha^2\epsilon\log\left((\alpha^2+1)\epsilon\right) - 2\pi\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) + 2\pi\alpha^2\epsilon\right] \\ &+ \log\epsilon\int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi dv_g + O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)\right). \qquad (50)\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi\left((G_p - \eta\beta(r,\theta)) + C_\epsilon + \log\epsilon\right) dv_g \\ =& \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi G_p dv_g - \int_{B_{2\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi\eta\beta(r,\theta) dv_g + (C_\epsilon + \log\epsilon)\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi dv_g. \qquad (51)\end{aligned}$$

By a direct calculation, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi G_p dv_g =& -\int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi G_p dv_g \\ =& -\int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} (\psi - \psi(p)) G_p dv_g - \int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi(p) G_p dv_g \\ =& -\psi(p)\left(-2\pi\alpha^2\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right) + 2\pi\alpha^2\epsilon + \pi A_p\alpha^2\epsilon\right) + O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)\right) \qquad (52)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi dv_g = \pi\psi(p)\alpha^2\epsilon + O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\right).$$

Meanwhile we have

$$-\int_{B_{2\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi\eta\beta(r,\theta) dv_g = O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\right). \qquad (53)$$

Inserting (52) and (53) into (51), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi\phi_\epsilon dv_g =& -\psi(p)\left(-2\pi\alpha^2\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right) + 2\pi\alpha^2\epsilon + \pi A_p\alpha^2\epsilon\right) \\ &+ (C_\epsilon + \log\epsilon)\int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi dv_g + O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)\right). \qquad (54)\end{aligned}$$

Combining (50) and (54), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Sigma \psi\phi_\epsilon dv_g &= \int_{B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi\phi_\epsilon dv_g + \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{\alpha\sqrt{\epsilon}}(p)} \psi\phi_\epsilon dv_g \\ &= \int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \left(\log\epsilon - 2\log\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2} - A(p) - 2\pi\frac{\psi(p)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\epsilon\log(\alpha^2+1)\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Then by (48), (49) and (55) one has

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\psi,h}(\phi_\epsilon) =& \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g\phi_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + 8\pi\frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_\Sigma \psi\phi_\epsilon dv_g - 8\pi\log\int_\Sigma h e^{\phi_\epsilon} dv_g \\ =& -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi A_p - 8\pi\log h(p) \\ & - 16\pi^2\left(\frac{\psi(p)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} - \frac{1}{4\pi}K_g(p)\right)\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) + 16\pi^2\left(1-\frac{1}{4\pi}K_g(p)\right)\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right) \\ & - 2\pi\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2}\left(b_1^2+b_2^2\right)\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) + 2\pi\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+1}\left(b_1^2+b_2^2\right)\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right) \\ & - 2\pi\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2}\frac{\Delta_g h(p)}{h(p)}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) + 2\pi\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+1}\frac{\Delta_g h(p)}{h(p)}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right) \\ & - 4\pi\frac{\alpha^2+1}{\alpha^2}\frac{k_1b_1+k_2b_2}{h(p)}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2+1\right) + 4\pi\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha^2+1}\frac{k_1b_1+k_2b_2}{h(p)}\epsilon\log\left(\alpha^2\epsilon\right) \\ & + O\left(\frac{\epsilon\log(\alpha^2+1)}{\alpha^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{-\epsilon\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)}{\alpha^2}\right) + O\left(\left(\epsilon\log(\alpha^2+1)\right)^2\right) \\ & + O\left(\left(-\epsilon\log(\alpha^2\epsilon)\right)^2\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^4}\right) + O\left(\alpha^4\epsilon^2\right) + O(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 3.2 in [9], i.e., $c_1 + c_3 + \frac{2}{3}K_g(p) = 4\pi$. By choosing $\alpha = (\epsilon\log(-\log\epsilon))^{-1/4}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\psi,h}(\phi_\epsilon) =& -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi A_p - 8\pi\log h(p) \\ & - 16\pi^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\psi(p)}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}+1\right) - \frac{1}{4\pi}K_g(p) + \frac{b_1^2+b_2^2}{8\pi} + \frac{\Delta_g h(p)}{8\pi h(p)} + \frac{k_1b_1+k_2b_2}{4\pi h(p)}\right)\epsilon(-\log\epsilon) \\ & + o(\epsilon(-\log\epsilon)). \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

So if (8) is satisfied, by (56) we have

$$J^{\psi,h}(\phi_\epsilon) < -8\pi - 8\pi\log\pi - 4\pi\max_{y\in\Sigma}\left(2\log h(y) + A_y\right)$$

for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. This ends the proof of Theorem 3 when $h > 0$. □

5. Proof of Theorem 3—Part II: $h \geq 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$

In this section, we shall deal with the situation that $h \geq 0$ and $h \not\equiv 0$ on Σ and end the proof of Theorem 3. The idea comes from our paper [25].

First, we need the following concentration lemma, which can be seen as a generalization of one on S^2 proved by Chang-Yang [5] and one on a general compact Riemannian surface proved by Ding-Jost-Li-Wang [10].

Proposition 14. *Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. Let ψ be a smooth function on Σ satisfying $\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \neq 0$. Given a sequence of $u_j \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ with $\int_\Sigma e^{u_j} dv_g = 1$ and*

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_j|^2 dv_g + 8\pi \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g}\int_\Sigma \psi u_j dv_g \leq C.$$

Then either

(i) there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that $\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_j|^2 dv_g \leq C_0$ or

(ii) there exists a subsequence which is also denoted by u_j concentrates at a point $p \in \Sigma$, i.e., for any $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{B_r(p)} e^{u_j} dv_g = 1.$$

To prove Proposition 14, one needs the following "distribution of mass" lemma, which can be seen as a generalization of one proved by Aubin [2] (see also [7]).

Lemma 15. *Let (Σ, g) be a compact Riemannian surface. Let ψ be a smooth function on Σ satisfying $\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g \neq 0$. Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be two subsets of Σ satisfying $dist(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) \geq \epsilon_0 > 0$ and $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1/2)$. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists a constant $C = C(\epsilon, \epsilon_0, \alpha_0)$ such that*

$$\int_\Sigma e^u dv_g \leq C \exp\left\{\frac{1}{32\pi(1-\epsilon)}\|\nabla_g u\|_2^2 + \widetilde{u}\right\}$$

holds for any $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$ satisfying

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega_1} e^u dv_g}{\int_\Sigma e^u dv_g} \geq \alpha_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\int_{\Omega_2} e^u dv_g}{\int_\Sigma e^u dv_g} \geq \alpha_0. \tag{57}$$

Proof. Let ϕ_1, ϕ_2 be two smooth functions on Σ such that

$$0 \leq \phi_i \leq 1, \quad \phi_i \equiv 1, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega_i, \quad i = 1, 2$$

and supp $\phi_1 \cap$ supp $\phi_2 = \emptyset$. It suffices to show that for $u \in W^{1,2}(\Sigma, g)$, $\widetilde{u} = 0$, (57) implies

$$\int_\Sigma e^u dv_g \leq C \exp\left\{\frac{1}{32\pi(1-\epsilon)}\|\nabla_g u\|_2^2\right\}. \tag{58}$$

We can assume without loss of generality that $\|\nabla_g(\phi_1 u)\|_2 \leq \|\nabla_g(\phi_2 u)\|_2$. Then by (57) and Theorem 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Sigma e^u dv_g \leq & \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\int_{\Omega_1} e^u dv_g \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}\int_\Sigma e^{\phi_1 u} dv_g \\
\leq & \frac{C}{\alpha_0}\exp\left\{\frac{1}{16\pi}\|\nabla_g(\phi_1 u)\|_2^2 + \widetilde{\phi_1 u}\right\} \\
\leq & \frac{C}{\alpha_0}\exp\left\{\frac{1}{32\pi}\|\nabla_g\left[(\phi_1+\phi_2)u\right]\|_2^2 + \widetilde{\phi_1 u}\right\} \\
\leq & \frac{C(\epsilon_0)}{\alpha_0}\exp\left\{\frac{1}{32\pi}(1+\epsilon_1)\|\nabla_g u\|_2^2 + C(\epsilon_1)\|u\|_2^2\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

for some small $\epsilon_1 > 0$, where in the last inequality we have used Lemma 5 and the Cauchy's inequality.

Using the condition $\widetilde{u} = 0$ one can get rid of the term $\|u\|_2^2$ on the right hand side of (59).

Given small enough $\eta > 0$, there exists a_η such that $\mathrm{Vol}_g\{x \in \Sigma : \ u(x) \geq a_\eta\} = \eta$. Applying (59) to the function $(u - a_\eta)_+ = \max\{0, (u - a_\eta)\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Sigma e^u dv_g \leq & e^{a_\eta} \int_\Sigma e^{(u-a_\eta)} dv_g \leq e^{a_\eta} \int_\Sigma e^{(u-a_\eta)_+} dv_g \\ \leq & C \exp\left\{ \frac{1}{32\pi}(1+\epsilon_1)\|\nabla_g u\|_2^2 + C(\epsilon_1)\|(u-a_\eta)_+\|_2^2 + a_\eta \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

where $C = C(\epsilon_0, \alpha_0)$.

By the Hölder inequality and Lemma 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Sigma \left|(u-a_\eta)_+\right|^2 dv_g = & \int_{\{x\in\Sigma:\ u(x)\geq a_\eta\}} \left|(u-a_\eta)_+\right|^2 dv_g \\ \leq & \left(\int_{\{x\in\Sigma:\ u(x)\geq a_\eta\}} \left|(u-a_\eta)_+\right|^4 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \eta^{1/2} \\ \leq & \left(\int_\Sigma |u|^4 dv_g \right)^{1/2} \cdot \eta^{1/2} \\ \leq & C \int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \cdot \eta^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

By the Höder inequality and Lemma 5, we have

$$a_\eta \cdot \eta \leq \int_{\{x\in\Sigma:\ u(x)\geq a_\eta\}} u dv_g \leq \int_\Sigma |u| dv_g \leq C \left(\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g \right)^{1/2}.$$

So

$$a_\eta \leq \eta \int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u|^2 dv_g + \frac{C}{\eta}. \tag{62}$$

Substituting (61) and (62) into (60) and choosing ϵ_1 and η sufficiently small such that

$$\frac{1}{32\pi}(1+\epsilon_1) + C(\epsilon_1) C \eta^{1/2} + \eta \leq \frac{1}{32\pi(1-\epsilon)},$$

then we obtain the inequaliaty (58). This ends the proof of Lemma 15. □

Proof of Proposition 14. If (*ii*) does not hold, i.e., every subsequence of u_j does not concentrate. Then for any $p \in \Sigma$ there exists $r \in (0, i_\Sigma/16)$ such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{B_r(p)} e^{u_j} dv_g < \delta_0 < 1, \tag{63}$$

where i_Σ is the injective radius of (Σ, g). (Note that we do not distinguish sequence and its subsequences.)

Since (Σ, g) is compact, there exists a finite set $\{(p_l, r_l) : \ l = 1, 2, ..., L\}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{B_{r_l}(p_l)} e^{u_j} dv_g < \delta < 1$$

and $\bigcup_{l=1}^{L} B_{r_l}(p_l) = \Sigma$.

Without loss of generality, one may assume that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{B_{r_1}(p_1)} e^{u_j} dv_g \geq \alpha_0 > 0$$

where $\alpha_0 \in (0, \delta_0)$ is a constant.

We prove (i) must happen by contradiction. Suppose (i) not happens, i.e., $\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_j|^2 dv_g$ is unbounded. Then from Lemma 15 we know

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_{2r_1}(p_1)} e^{u_j} dv_g = 0. \tag{64}$$

Choosing a normal coordinate system (x_1, x_2) around p_1 and assuming in $B_{16r_1}(p_1)$

$$\frac{1}{2}|x - y| \leq dist_g(x, y) \leq 2|x - y|,$$

where $|x - y| = dist_{\mathbb{R}^2}(x, y)$.

We consider the square $P_1 = \{|x_i| \leq 4r_1 : \ i = 1, 2\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, from (64) one knows

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\exp_{p_1}(P_1)} e^{u_j} dv_g = 1.$$

Dividing P_1 into 16 equal sub-squares. Since $\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_j|^2 dv_g$ is unbounded, by Lemma 15 one gets a square P_2 which is a union of at most 9 of the equal sub-squares of P_1 such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{\exp_{p_1}(P_2)} e^{u_j} dv_g = 1.$$

Continuing this procedure, we can obtain a sequence of square P_n. It is easy to check that $P_n \to p_0$ as $n \to \infty$ for some $p_0 \in \Sigma$ and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \int_{B_r(p_0)} e^{u_j} dv_g = 1$$

for any $r \in (0, i_\Sigma)$. This contradicts (63). The contradiction tells us that $\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_j|^2 dv_g$ is bounded, i.e., (i) holds. This ends the proof of Proposition 14. □

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 3 when $h \geq 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3—Part II: $h \geq 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$. Checking the proof of Theorem 3—Part I: $h > 0$ carefully, one will finds that the condition $h > 0$ is just used in solving the bubble (21). Therefore, if $h \geq 0$, $h \not\equiv 0$, we just need to prove that the blowup (if happens) will not happen on zero point of h.

In the following we assume u_ϵ blows up, i.e., $\|\nabla u_\epsilon\|_2 \to +\infty$ as $\epsilon \to 0$.

Recalling that in (5), we have $u_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\Sigma) \cap \widetilde{X}$. Lemma 9 still holds, i.e., $c_\epsilon \to \infty$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. Let $\Omega \subset \Sigma$ be a domain. If $\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_\Omega h e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \leqslant \frac{1}{2} - \delta$ for some $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, then (28) implies that

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_0)} \leq C(\Omega_0, \Omega), \quad \forall \Omega_0 \subset\subset \Omega. \tag{65}$$

Suppose $x_\epsilon \to p$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. As we explained before, to prove Theorem 3, it suffices to prove that $h(p) > 0$. For this purpose, we set $v_\epsilon = u_\epsilon - \log \int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g$. Then we have

$$\int_\Sigma e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g = 1, \quad J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(v_\epsilon) = J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) \tag{66}$$

and

$$J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) = \inf_{u \in \widetilde{X}} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u) \leq J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(0) = -8\pi(1-\epsilon) \log \int_\Sigma h dv_g. \tag{67}$$

The Hölder inequality together with Lemmas 5 and 7 tells us that

$$|\overline{u}_\epsilon| = |\widetilde{u}_\epsilon - \overline{u}_\epsilon| = \left| \frac{1}{\int_\Sigma \psi dv_g} \int_\Sigma \psi \, (u_\epsilon - \overline{u}_\epsilon) \, dv_g \right| \leq C \tag{68}$$

By Jensen's inequality and (68), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{v}_\epsilon = -\log \int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g &= -\log \mathrm{Vol}_g(\Sigma) - \log \left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_g(\Sigma)} \int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g \right) \\ &\leq -\log \mathrm{Vol}_g(\Sigma) + C \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

Combining (66), (67) and (69) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |\nabla_g v_\epsilon|^2 dv_g + 8\pi \widetilde{v}_\epsilon \leq & J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(v_\epsilon) + 8\pi\epsilon \widetilde{v}_\epsilon + 8\pi(1-\epsilon) \log \int_\Sigma h e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g \\ \leq & J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) + 8\pi\epsilon \widetilde{v}_\epsilon + 8\pi(1-\epsilon) \log \max_\Sigma h \\ \leq & C + 8\pi(1-\epsilon) \log \frac{\max_\Sigma h}{\int_\Sigma h dv_g} \\ \leq & C. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (*ii*) of Lemma 14 holds in this case. Hence there exists some $p' \in \Sigma$ such that v_ϵ concentrates at p', namely,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{B_r(p')} e^{v_\epsilon} dv_g = 1, \quad \forall r > 0. \tag{70}$$

We first claim that

$$h(p') > 0. \tag{71}$$

To see this, in view of (70), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\int_\Sigma he^{u_\epsilon}dv_g}{\int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon}dv_g} = \int_\Sigma he^{v_\epsilon}dv_g &= \int_{B_r(p')} he^{v_\epsilon}dv_g + \int_{\Sigma\setminus B_r(p')} he^{v_\epsilon}dv_g \\ &= (h(p') + o_r(1)) \int_{B_r(p')} e^{v_\epsilon}dv_g + o_\epsilon(1) \\ &= (h(p') + o_r(1))(1 + o_\epsilon(1)) + o_\epsilon(1) \\ &= h(p') + o_r(1) + o_\epsilon(1). \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Because the left hand side of (72) does not depend on r, we have by passing to the limit $r \to 0$,

$$\frac{\int_\Sigma he^{u_\epsilon}dv_g}{\int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon}dv_g} = h(p') + o_\epsilon(1). \tag{73}$$

So by (73) and Theorem 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\epsilon^{\psi,h}(u_\epsilon) =& \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\int_\Sigma he^{u_\epsilon}dv_g \\ =& \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\left(h(p') + o_\epsilon(1)\right) \\ & - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon}dv_g \\ \geq& \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\left(h(p') + o_\epsilon(1)\right) \\ & - 8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\left(C\exp\left\{\frac{1}{16\pi}\int_\Sigma |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^2 dv_g\right\}\right) \\ \geq& -8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\left(C(h(p') + o_\epsilon(1))\right). \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Combining (67) and (74), we obtain

$$-8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\int_\Sigma h dv_g \geq -8\pi(1-\epsilon)\log\left(C(h(p') + o_\epsilon(1))\right),$$

and whence

$$\log\int_\Sigma h dv_g \leq \log\left(Ch(p')\right).$$

This immediately leads to (71).

Then we claim that

$$p' = p. \tag{75}$$

In view of (*ii*) of Lemma 14, there holds $\int_\Omega e^{v_\epsilon}dv_g \to 0$, $\forall \Omega \subset\subset \Sigma\setminus\{p'\}$. Noting that $u_\epsilon \in \widetilde{X}$, from (73) we have

$$\lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_\Omega he^{u_\epsilon}dv_g = \lambda_\epsilon^{-1}\int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon}dv_g \int_\Omega he^{v_\epsilon}dv_g \leq \frac{\max_\Sigma h}{h(p') + o_\epsilon(1)}\int_\Omega e^{v_\epsilon}dv_g \to 0 \tag{76}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. Combining (65) and (76), we obtain $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$ and thus $\|v_\epsilon - \widetilde{v}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C$ for any $\Omega \subset\subset \Sigma\setminus\{p'\}$. This together with (69) implies that $v_\epsilon(x) \leq C$ for all $x \in \Omega \subset\subset \Sigma\setminus\{p'\}$.

It follows from (73) and $u_\epsilon \in \widetilde{X}$ that

$$\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g = \frac{1}{h(p') + o_\epsilon(1)} < \frac{2}{h(p')}$$

for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose $p' \neq p$. Recalling that $c_\epsilon = u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) = \max_\Sigma u_\epsilon$ and $x_\epsilon \to p$, we find a domain Ω such that $x_\epsilon \in \Omega \subset\subset \Sigma \setminus \{p'\}$. Hence

$$c_\epsilon - \log \lambda_\epsilon = u_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) - \log \lambda_\epsilon = v_\epsilon(x_\epsilon) + \log\left(\lambda_\epsilon^{-1} \int_\Sigma e^{u_\epsilon} dv_g\right) \leq C,$$

which contradicts Lemma 9 and concludes our claim (75). Combining (71) and (75), we obtain $h(p) > 0$. The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 3 is completely analogous to Section 4, we omit the details here. □

Acknowledgements. The work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11401575). The author thanks Professor Yunyan Yang for his helpful discussions and suggestions.

References

[1] Adimurthi, O. Druet, Blow-up analysis in dimension 2 and a sharp form of Trudinger-Moser inequality, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 29 (2004) 295-322.
[2] T. Aubin, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds, Spinger-Verlag, New York, 1982.
[3] D. Bartolucci, C. Lin, Existence and uniqueness for mean field equations on multiply connected domains at the critical parameter, Math. Ann. 359 (2014), 1-44.
[4] H. Brezis, F. Merle, Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 16 (1991) 1223-1253.
[5] S.-Y. A. Chang, P. Yang, Conformal deformation of metrics on S^2, J. Diff. Geom. 23 (1988) 259-296.
[6] W. Chen, C. Li, Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations, Duke Math. J. 63 (1991) 615-622.
[7] W. Chen, C. Li, Prescribing Gaussian curvatures on surfaces with conical singularities, J. Geom. Anal. 1 (1991) 359-372.
[8] X. Chen, M. Zhu, Liouville energy on a topological two sphere, Commun. Math. Stat. 1 (2013), no. 4, 369-385.
[9] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann surface, Asian J. Math. 1 (1997) 230-248.
[10] W. Ding, J. Jost, J. Li, G. Wang, An analysis of the two-vortex case in the Chern-Simons Higgs model, Calc. Var. 7 (1998) 87-97.
[11] L. Fontana, Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds, Comment. Math. Helv. 68 (1993) 415-454.
[12] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
[13] E. Hebey, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds: Sobolev spaces and inequalities, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 5.
[14] J. Kazdan, F. Warner, Curvature functions for compact 2-manifolds, Ann. of Math. 99 (1974) 14-47.
[15] C. Lin, C. Wang, Elliptic functions, Green functions and the mean field equations on tori. Ann. Math. 172(2) (2010) 911-954.
[16] G. Lu, Y. Yang, The sharp constant and extremal functions for Trudinger-Moser inequalities involving L^p norms, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 25 (2009) 963-979.
[17] C. Tintarev, Trudinger-Moser inequality with remainder terms, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014) 55-66.
[18] G. Wang, D. Ye, A Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality, Adv. Math. 230 (2012), no. 1, 294-320.
[19] G. Wang, C. Xia, Blow-up analysis of a Finsler-Liouville equation in two dimensions, J. Differential Equations 252 (2012), no. 2, 1668-1700.
[20] M. Wang, Q. Liu, The equation $\Delta u + \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla u = 8\pi c(1 - he^u)$ on a Riemann surface, J. Partial Differential Equations 25 (2001), no. 4, 335-355.
[21] Y. Yang, A sharp form of the Moser-Trudinger inequality on a compact Riemannian surface, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007) 5761-5776.

[22] Y. Yang, Extremal functions for Trudinger-Moser inequalities of Adimurthi-Druet type in dimension two, J. Differential Equations 258 (2015) 3161-3193.
[23] Y. Yang, A Trudinger-Moser inequality on compact Riemannian surface involving Gaussian curvature, J. Geom. Anal. 26 (2016), no. 4, 2893-2913.
[24] Y. Yang, X. Zhu, An improved Hardy-Trudinger-Moser inequality, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 49 (2016), no. 1, 23-41.
[25] Y. Yang, X. Zhu, A remark on a result of Ding-Jost-Li-Wang, arXiv:1610.00774, to be published on Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.
[26] X. Zhu, A weak Trudinger-Moser inequality with a singular weight on compact Riemannian surface, submitted.